



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

thought he could use it to advantage in a railroad election in counting the vote, this did not constitute a delivery passing title to the husband; since while the deed may be delivered not only to the grantee himself but to a stranger for his use, or declared to be delivered although the grantee be absent, yet, if delivered to a stranger without any declaration or other matter to show that it is for the use of the grantee, it is not a sufficient delivery.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Deeds, Cent. Dig. §§ 130-135; Dec. Dig. § 58.\* 4 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 404; 14 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 319; 15 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 266.]

Appeal from Circuit Court, Grayson County.

Suit for partition by Celia Leftwich and others against Josephine Early. From a decree dismissing the bill, complainants appeal. Affirmed.

*J. S. Bourne* and *H. A. Cox*, both of Independence, for appellants.

*R. L. Kirby*, of Independence, for appellee.

---

MORGAN *v.* COMMONWEALTH.

Sept. 11, 1913.

[79 So. 388.]

**Criminal Law (§ 1014\*)—Appeal and Error—Successive Petitions for Writ of Error.**—Under Code 1904, § 3466, providing that when the Court of Appeals shall deem the judgment complained of clearly right, and shall reject a petition for a writ of error on that ground, and the order of rejection so states, no other petition shall thereafter be entertained, the court is without jurisdiction to entertain an amended petition for a writ of error to a judgment of conviction for crime, after the original petition had been denied in an order expressly stating that the court was of the opinion that the judgment was clearly right.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Criminal Law, Cent. Dig. § 2571; Dec. Dig. § 1014.\* 1 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 503.]

Error to Circuit Court, Wise County.

One Morgan was convicted of malicious shooting, and files an amended petition for a writ of error. Petition denied.

*Bond & Bruce*, of Wise, for petitioner.

*The Attorney General*, for the Commonwealth.

---

\*For other cases see same topic and section NUMBER in Dec. Dig. & Am. Dig. Key No. Series & Rep'r Indexes.